

10 [Ex. 1] Show that, for bounded operators \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , we have $\|\mathbf{AB}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|$.

Proof. This follows almost immediately from the definition of $\|\cdot\|$. For ψ in our vector space, since \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are bounded:

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\psi\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\psi\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|\|\psi\|.$$

Thus, given $x \neq 0$ we have

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{AB}\psi\|}{\|\psi\|} \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|\|\psi\|}{\|\psi\|} = \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|.$$

Taking the supremum over all such vectors ψ on both sides we have

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|,$$

as desired. \square

10 [Ex. 2] Let $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis. Prove that the operator \mathbf{U} is unitary if $\{\mathbf{U}\phi_1, \mathbf{U}\phi_2, \dots, \mathbf{U}\phi_n\}$ is also an orthonormal basis.

Proof. Assume that $\{\mathbf{U}\phi_1, \dots, \mathbf{U}\phi_n\}$ is orthonormal. Then, by definition, we have

$$(\mathbf{U}\phi_i, \mathbf{U}\phi_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

But, by the definition of the adjoint, this is equivalent to

$$(\mathbf{U}^\dagger(\mathbf{U}\phi_i), \phi_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}\phi_i, \phi_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

Note that this leaves us with

$$(\phi_i, \phi_j) = \delta_{ij} = (\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}\phi_i, \phi_j),$$

which actually establishes the claim since the action of $\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}$ is identical to that of \mathbf{I} on the basis; however, in the interest of completeness, we will explicitly demonstrate that this implies their action is the same on a pair of arbitrary vectors. To this end, we let $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i$ and $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \phi_j$ be arbitrary vectors in our vector space and consider the following inner product:

$$(\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}\psi, \xi) = \left(\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i, \xi \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}\phi_i, \xi \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \left(\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}\phi_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \phi_j \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \sum_{j=1}^n b_j (\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} \phi_i, \phi_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \delta_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \sum_{j=1}^n b_j (\phi_i, \phi_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \left(\phi_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \phi_j \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i, \xi \right) = (\psi, \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Since ψ and ξ are arbitrary, we have that

$$\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I},$$

so that \mathbf{U} is unitary. □

! 0

Ex. 3 Show that

- (a) $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^\dagger + \mathbf{B}^\dagger$
- (b) $(\alpha \mathbf{A})^\dagger = \alpha^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger$
- (c) $(\mathbf{AB})^\dagger = \mathbf{B}^\dagger \mathbf{A}^\dagger$
- (d) $(\mathbf{A}^\dagger)^\dagger = \mathbf{A}$
- (e) $(\mathbf{A}^\dagger)^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^\dagger$

Proof. (a) Consider the following inner product:

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^\dagger \phi, \psi) &= (\phi, (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})\psi) = (\phi, \mathbf{A}\psi + \mathbf{B}\psi) = (\phi, \mathbf{A}\psi) + (\phi, \mathbf{B}\psi) \\
&= (\mathbf{A}^\dagger \phi, \psi) + (\mathbf{B}^\dagger \phi, \psi) = ((\mathbf{A}^\dagger + \mathbf{B}^\dagger) \phi, \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ and ψ are arbitrary, this gives us that

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^\dagger + \mathbf{B}^\dagger.$$

(b) Again consider the following inner product with ϕ and ψ arbitrary:

$$\begin{aligned}
((\alpha \mathbf{A})^\dagger \phi, \psi) &= (\phi, (\alpha \mathbf{A})\psi) = (\phi, \alpha(\mathbf{A}\psi)) = \alpha(\phi, \mathbf{A}\psi) = \alpha(\mathbf{A}^\dagger \phi, \psi) = (\alpha^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger \phi, \psi) \\
&\Rightarrow (\alpha \mathbf{A})^\dagger = \alpha^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) We will follow the same procedure as in the previous two steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mathbf{AB})^\dagger \phi, \psi) &= (\phi, (\mathbf{AB})\psi) = (\phi, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{B}\psi)) = (\mathbf{A}^\dagger \phi, \mathbf{B}\psi) = (\mathbf{B}^\dagger (\mathbf{A}^\dagger \phi), \psi) = ((\mathbf{B}^\dagger \mathbf{A}^\dagger) \phi, \psi) \\
&\Rightarrow (\mathbf{AB})^\dagger = \mathbf{B}^\dagger \mathbf{A}^\dagger.
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Once again we can perform the same trick by using (1.3c), as well as the fact that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $(z^*)^* = z$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{A}^\dagger)^\dagger \phi, \psi) &= (\phi, \mathbf{A}^\dagger \psi) = (\mathbf{A}^\dagger \psi, \phi)^* = (\psi, \mathbf{A} \phi)^* = [(\mathbf{A} \phi, \psi)]^* = (\mathbf{A} \phi, \psi) \\ &\Rightarrow (\mathbf{A}^\dagger)^\dagger = \mathbf{A}. \end{aligned}$$

- (e) This one we can do explicitly, without worrying about inner products, by utilizing part (c):

$$\mathbf{A}^\dagger (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^\dagger = (\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A})^\dagger = \mathbf{I}^\dagger = \mathbf{I}.$$

Thus, by definition of the inverse operator,

$$(\mathbf{A}^\dagger)^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^\dagger.$$

□

/ ▽ **Ex. 4** Show that $\mathbf{P}_M \mathbf{P}_{M^\perp} = \mathbf{P}_{M^\perp} \mathbf{P}_M = \mathbf{O}$.

Proof. This follows from a straightforward calculation. Let $\psi = \psi_M + \psi_{M^\perp}$, then by definition

$$(\mathbf{P}_M \mathbf{P}_{M^\perp})(\psi) = \mathbf{P}_M(\mathbf{P}_{M^\perp}(\psi_M + \psi_{M^\perp})) = \mathbf{P}_M(\psi_{M^\perp}) = \mathbf{O},$$

and

$$(\mathbf{P}_{M^\perp} \mathbf{P}_M)(\psi) = \mathbf{P}_{M^\perp}(\mathbf{P}_M(\psi_M + \psi_{M^\perp})) = \mathbf{P}_{M^\perp}(\psi_M) = \mathbf{O}.$$

□

/ ▽ **Ex. 5** Consider the Hilbert space spanned by a Hermitian operator \mathbf{A} .

- (a) Prove that $\prod_a (\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I})$ is the null operator if $\mathbf{A}\psi_a = a\psi_a$.

- (b) What is the significance of the operator $\prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{\mathbf{A} - a'\mathbf{I}}{a' - a}$?

Proof. (a) First, we will show that $\prod_a (\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I})$ applied to any of the ψ_a 's is 0:

$$\left[\prod_a (\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}) \right] \psi_a = \prod_a [(\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I})\psi_a] = \prod_a (\mathbf{A}\psi_a - a\psi_a) = \prod_a (a\psi_a - a\psi_a) = 0.$$

From here we simply need to note that, by the 2nd postulate of quantum mechanics, the set $\{\psi_a\}$ form a basis for the Hilbert space, and since $\prod_a (\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}) \equiv \mathbf{O}$ on the basis and is linear, we must have

$$\prod_a (\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{O}.$$

(b) The significance is that

$$\sum_{a'} \left(\prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}}{a' - a} \right) = \mathbf{I}.$$

To see this we will first fix an a' and consider the action of the operator associated with a' on ψ_b for some eigenvalue b such that $b \neq a'$:

$$\left(\prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}}{a' - a} \right) \psi_b = \prod_{a \neq a'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}}{a' - a} \psi_b \right) = \prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{\mathbf{A}\psi_b - a\psi_b}{a' - a} = \prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{b\psi_b - a\psi_b}{a' - a} = 0,$$

where the final equality follows from the assumption that b belongs to the collection of all a with $a \neq a'$; hence one of the terms in the product will vanish. Now consider what happens to $\psi_{a'}$ for this fixed a' :

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}}{a' - a} \right) \psi_{a'} &= \prod_{a \neq a'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}}{a' - a} \psi_{a'} \right) = \prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{\mathbf{A}\psi_{a'} - a\psi_{a'}}{a' - a} \\ &= \prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{a'\psi_{a'} - a\psi_{a'}}{a' - a} = \psi_{a'} \prod_{a \neq a'} \frac{a' - a}{a' - a} = \psi_{a'} \prod_{a \neq a'} 1 = \psi_{a'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, looking at this operator in terms of its matrix elements, it will have a 1 in the a', a' -th spot, and 0's everywhere else. Hence, upon adding it up over all eigenvalues a' , the resulting sum of these operators will have 1's along the diagonal and 0's everywhere else.

□